Reinforcement Learning Course: WiSe 2020/21

Marin Bukov

Faculty of Physics, Sofia University, 5 James Bourchier Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

(Dated: November 22, 2020)

I. TEMPORAL DIFFERENCE LEARNING

In this notebook, we study in practice Temporal Difference (TD) methods. We follow closely the discussion in Sutton & Barto, Chapter 6.

Like Monte Carlo methods, TD methods do not require knowledge of the transition probabilities p(s', r|s, a), i.e. they are model-free; TD methods learn directly from experience. Like Dynamic Programming methods, TD methods update incrementally the value function, and can also be used for infinite-horizeon tasks.

Below, you will implement:

1. Policy Evaluation using TD(0),

```
2. On-Policy Control: SARSA
```

3. Off-Policy Control: Q-Learning

As an example, we use the WindyGridWorld environment defined in Notebook 2.

```
In [2]: import numpy as np
import import_ipynb
from Notebook_2_RL_environments import WindyGridWorldEnv # import environment, 
→ notebooks
must be in same directory
In [2]: # set seed of rng (for reproducibility of the results)
seed=0
np.random.seed(seed)
# create environment class
env=WindyGridWorldEnv(seed=seed)
```

A. Policy Evaluation

First, we implement Policy Evaluation using TD learning.

```
In [11]: def policy_evaluation(pi, N_episodes, alpha, gamma, verbose=True):
    """
    pi: np.ndarray
        policy to be evaluated.
    N_episodes: int
        number of training episodes.
    alpha: double
        learning rate or step-size parameter. Should be in the interval [0,1].
    gamma: double
        discount factor. Should be in the interval [0,1].
    verbose: bool
        whether or not to input progress.
```

```
# initialize value function V
V = np.zeros((10,7),)
# policy evaluation
for episode in range(N_episodes):
    # reset environment
    env.reset()
    # loop over the steps in an episode
    done=False
    while (not done):
        # pick a random action
        S=env.state.copy()
        A=np.random.choice([0,1,2,3], p=pi[S[0],S[1],:])
        # take an environment step
        S_p, R, done = env.step(A)
        # update value function
        V[S[0],S[1]] += alpha*(R + gamma*V[S_p[0],S_p[1]] - V[S[0],S[1]])
    if episode%10==0 and verbose:
        print('finished episode {0:d}.'.format(episode))
```

```
return V
```

Define an equiprobably policy and evaluate it using TD learning. Use $\alpha = 0.5$, $\gamma = 0.9$, and N_episodes=100.

- 1. What happens if you change the value for the discount factor γ ? Can you explain your observations?
- 2. Does the result depend on the number of episodes used? Why?
- 3. Try to evaluate the policy which deterministically takes the action right. What can go wrong here? Can you come up with a way to fix the issue you observe?

```
In [12]: # learning rate
    alpha = 0.5
    # discount factor
    gamma = 0.9
    # number of episodes to collect data from
    N_episodes=100
    # define equiprobable policy
    pi_equiprob=0.25*np.ones((10,7,4))
    # evaluate the valur function for the equiproably policy
    V_equiprob = policy_evaluation(pi_equiprob, N_episodes, alpha, gamma)
    # print value function
    np.round(np.flipud(V_equiprob.T),0)
finished episode 0.
finished episode 10.
finished episode 20.
```

```
finished episode 30.
finished episode 40.
finished episode 50.
finished episode 60.
finished episode 70.
finished episode 80.
finished episode 90.
```

return a

```
[breakable, size=fbox, boxrule=.5pt, pad at break*=1mm, opacityfill=20]:
```

```
array([[-10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10.],
       [-10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10.],
                                                             -9.],
       [-10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10.,
       [-10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10.,
                                                 0., -10.,
                                                              -9.],
       [-10., -10., -10., -10., -10., -10.,
                                             0., -8., -5.,
                                                              -9.],
       [-10., -10., -10., -10., -10.,
                                             0., -10., -10.,
                                       0.,
                                                              -9.],
       [-10., -10., -10., -10., 0.,
                                                   0., -7.,
                                       0.,
                                             0.,
                                                              -9.]])
```

B. SARSA

We now turn to policy improvement, and implement the SARSA algorithm. SARSA is an on-policy algorithm, i.e. the policy being improved is the same policy which generates the data. The algorithm makes use of generalized policy iteration to find an approximation to the optimal Q-function using experience (i.e. from data).

SARSA requires us to be able to take actions according to an ε -greedy policy. Therefore, your first task is to implement a function take_eps_greedy_action(Qs,eps,avail_actions) which takes an action according to the ε -greedy policy w.r.t. the Q-function Q(s,:) for some fixed state s. For simiplicity, we assume that all actions are available from every state (see definition of WindyGridWorld environment).

Once we have take_eps_greedy_action, we can move on to implement the SARSA(N_episodes, alpha, gamma, eps) routine.

```
In [5]: def take_eps_greedy_action(Qs,eps,avail_actions=np.array([0,1,2,3])):
```

```
Qs: np.ndarray
                the Q(s, :) values for a fixed state, over all available actions from
\hookrightarrow that state.
           eps: double
                small number to define the eps-greedy policy.
           avail_actions: np.ndarray
                an array containing all allowed actions from the state s.
               For the WindyGridWorld environment, these are always all four actions.
           .....
           # compute greedy action
           a = np.argmax(Qs)
           # draw a random number in [0,1]
           delta=np.random.uniform()
           # take a non=greedy action with probability eps//A/
           if delta < eps/avail_actions.shape[0]:
               a = np.random.choice( avail_actions[np.arange(len(avail_actions)) != a] )
```

```
In [6]: def SARSA(N_episodes, alpha, gamma, eps):
             .....
            N_episodes: int
                number of training episodes
            alpha: double
                 learning rate or step-size parameter. Should be in the interval [0,1].
            gamma: double
                discount factor. Should be in the interval [0,1].
            eps: double
                the eps-greedy policy paramter. Control exploration. Should be in the \Box
 \rightarrow interval
        [0,1].
             .....
            # initialize Q function
            Q = np.zeros((10,7,4)) \# (10,7)-grid of 4 actions
            # policy evaluation
            for episode in range(N_episodes):
                 # reset environment and compute initial state
                S=env.reset().copy()
                 # take first action using eps-greedy policy
                A=take_eps_greedy_action(Q[S[0],S[1],:],eps)
                # loop over the timesteps in the episode
                done=False
                while not done:
                     # take an environment step
                     S_p, R, done = env.step(A)
                     # choose A_p
                     A_p=take_eps_greedy_action(Q[S_p[0],S_p[1],:],eps)
                     # update value function
                     Q[S[0],S[1],A] += alpha*(R + gamma*Q[S_p[0],S_p[1],A_p] -
\rightarrowQ[S[0],S[1],A])
                     # update states
                     S=S_p.copy()
                     A=A_p.copy()
```

```
return Q
```

Now that we implemented SARSA, we can evaluate the optimal $q_*(s, a)$ and $v_*(s)$ functions for a fixed value of $\varepsilon = 0.1$.

- What is the role of the parameters N_episodes when it comes to the convergence speed?
- Print the value function corresponding to Q_SARSA.
- Extract the optimal policy from Q_SARSA.

Use your code now to gain intuition about how the algorithm behaves:

- How do the results change if you decrease the value of eps?
- How do the results change if you increase/decrease the step size paramter alpha?

```
In [7]: # learning rate
        alpha = 0.5
        # discount factor
        gamma = 1.0
        # epsilon: small positive number used in the definition of the epsilon-greedy
\rightarrow policy
        eps = 0.1
        # number of episodes to collect data from
        N_episodes=1000
        # use SARSA to compute the optimal Q function
        Q_SARSA=SARSA(N_episodes, alpha, gamma, eps)
        # extract and plot the corresponding value function
        V_SARSA=np.max(Q_SARSA,axis=2)
        np.round(np.flipud(V_SARSA.T),0)
        # compute and print the optimal policy
  [breakable, size=fbox, boxrule=.5pt, pad at break*=1mm, opacityfill[70]:
array([[-16., -16., -14., -13., -11., -10., -9., -8., -7., -6.],
```

```
[-16., -16., -14., -12., -13., -11., -10., -10., -8., -5.],
[-17., -16., -15., -14., -12., -12., -11., -7., -8., -4.],
[-15., -15., -14., -13., -13., -11., -10.,
                                           0., -7.,
                                                      -3.],
[-17., -16., -15., -14., -12., -11.,
                                                -1.,
                                           -1.,
                                                      -2.],
                                      0.,
                              0.,
                                      0.,
[-16., -15., -14., -13., -12.,
                                           -2.,
                                                -2.,
                                                      -4.],
                                0.,
[-15., -14., -13., -13., 0.,
                                            0., -2.,
                                      0.,
                                                      -4.]])
```

C. Q-Learning

Q-Learning is an off-policy algorithm, i.e. the policy being improved can be different from the behavior policy which generates the data. Like SARSA, Q-Learning makes use of generalized policy iteration to find an approximation to the optimal Q-function using experience (i.e. from data).

The Q-Learning algorithm forms the basics of modern Deep RL studies; the off-policy character allows to learn from old data which makes it particularly suitable for data-driven deep learning approaches. As with SARSA, we will make use of the routine take_eps_greedy_action defined above.

```
In [8]: def Q_Learning(N_episodes, alpha, gamma, eps):
    """
```

```
N_episodes: int
        number of training episodes
        alpha: double
            learning rate or step-size parameter. Should be in the interval [0,1].
        gamma: double
            discount factor. Should be in the interval [0,1].
        eps: double
            the eps-greedy policy paramter. Control exploration. Should be in the
            the eps-greedy policy paramter. Control exploration. Should be in the
            the interval
        [0,1].
        """
        # initialize Q function
        Q = np.zeros((10,7,4),) # (10,7)-grid of 4 actions
```

```
# policy evaluation
    for episode in range(N_episodes):
        # reset environment and compute initial state
        S=env.reset().copy()
        # loop over the timesteps in the episode
        done=False
        while not done:
            # choose action
            A=take_eps_greedy_action(Q[S[0],S[1],:],eps)
            # take an environment step
            S_p, R, done = env.step(A)
            # update value function
            Q[S[0],S[1],A] += alpha*(R + gamma*np.max(Q[S_p[0],S_p[1],:]) -
Q[S[0],S[1],A])
            # update states
            S=S_p.copy()
```

```
-
```

```
return Q
```

Like with SARSA, we'd like to first evaluate the optimal $q_*(s, a)$ and $v_*(s)$ functions for a fixed value of $\varepsilon = 0.1$.

- What is the role of the parameters N_episodes when it comes to the convergence speed?
- Print the value function $\mathtt{V_QL}$ corresponding to $\mathtt{Q_QL}.$
- Extract the optimal policy from Q_QL.
- Now use the policy_evaluation routine to evaluate the optimal policy and visualize its value function V; What is the relation between the value function V, and the value function V_QL obtained from Q_QL?

Use your code now to gain intuition about how the algorithm behaves:

- How do the results change if you decrease the value of eps?
- How do the results change if you increase/decrease the step size paramter alpha?

```
In [13]: # learning rate
    alpha = 0.5
    # discount factor
    gamma = 1.0
    # epsilon: small positive number used in the definition of the epsilon-greedy
    opolicy
    eps = 0.1
    # number of episodes to collect data from
    N_episodes=1000
    # use Q-Learning to compute the optimal Q function
    Q_QL=Q_Learning(N_episodes, alpha, gamma, eps)
```

```
# extract and plot the corresponding value function
V_QL=np.max(Q_QL,axis=2)
np.round(np.flipud(V_QL.T),0)
# compute and print the optimal policy
pi_QL=np.zeros((10,7,4))
# greedy policy associated with Q function
amax=np.argmax(Q_QL,axis=2)
for m in range(10):
    for n in range(10):
        for n in range(7):
            pi_QL[m,n,amax[m,n]]=1
# now use the policy_evaluation routine to evaluate the optimal policy and__
...visualize its
    value function.
V=policy_evaluation(pi_QL, N_episodes, alpha, gamma, verbose=False)
    np.round(np.flipud(V.T),0)
```

[breakable, size=fbox, boxrule=.5pt, pad at break*=1mm, opacityfi[1=0]:

```
array([[ 0.,
             0., 0., 0., 0., 0., -9., -8., -7., -6.],
                    0.,
                                                    0.,
      [ 0.,
                         0.,
                              0., -10.,
                                                          -5.],
              0.,
                                          0.,
                                                0.,
                                                    0.,
      [ 0.,
                    0.,
              0.,
                         0., -11.,
                                     0.,
                                          0.,
                                                0.,
                                                          -4.],
      [-15., -14., -13., -12.,
                              0.,
                                     0.,
                                          0.,
                                                0.,
                                                     0., -3.],
                    0.,
                         0.,
                               0.,
                                                0., -1., -2.],
      [ 0.,
              0.,
                                     0.,
                                          0.,
                         0.,
      [ 0.,
                    0.,
                               0.,
                                     0.,
                                          0.,
                                                     0., 0.],
              0.,
                                                0.,
      [ 0.,
             0.,
                   0.,
                         0.,
                               0.,
                                     0.,
                                          0.,
                                                0.,
                                                     0.,
                                                           0.]])
```

In []: