



- **Peer Review (*due Feb 1*): 10% of final grade**
 - read carefully, and evaluate honestly the paper(s) you are assigned to, following the review criteria below.
- **Review Criteria:**
 - Is the paper clearly written? Does it read smoothly? Are the paragraphs ordered logically (or are there large gaps/jumps in between paragraphs)?
 - Does the paper pose a well-defined problem? Comment on why or why not this problem is suitable for RL.
 - Are the selected methods and algorithms appropriate for the study? Are they applied properly?
 - Are the conclusions based on the data in the figures? Make sure to distinguish evidence in the data from wishful thinking.



- **Peer Review (due Feb 1): 10% of final grade**
 - **Review Criteria (continued):**
 - Are all equations correct? Are the main results solid? Would you be able to reproduce them from the information provided in the paper (given enough time)?
 - Evaluate the scientific potential of the paper: are the results likely to influence the community? Are they ground-breaking (i.e. do they push the understanding in the field) or simply incremental (i.e. just apply existing methods and describe what happens)?
 - Make sure to **give explicit examples / suggestions** for every critique point you raise. Quote sentences from the paper if necessary to let authors easily locate the problem.



- **Peer Review (due Feb 1): 10% of final grade**
 - **Review Report** (simple .txt document (cf. report for your proposal), **has three parts**):
 - prepare one short **paragraph** which explains **what the paper is about, and what the major reported results are.**
 - **grade the paper** between 1 and 10 (1 is worst possible grade, and 10 is best possible grade). Provide a short summary with clear arguments for the chosen grade.
 - answer to all Review Criteria ***in bullet-point format: clearly and concisely and without lengthy sentences.*** Make sure you quote the exact sentences/equations from the paper you refer to.